

a mostly monospaced font family \cdot designed by Matthew Butterick \cdot available only at mbtype.com

Why Should **Proportional Fonts**Have All the Fun?

Monospaced Fonts occupy an odd niche in typography. Even though we still need monospaced fonts — as do machines — most of the available options are ugly and sad.

Why? Because putting every character on the same width is hard. Designers of monospaced fonts often start with a proportional design, and then, in Procrustean fashion, surgically mangle each letter until it fits (with predictably dire consequences).

Triplicate, by contrast, is modeled on several faces from the golden age of the typewriter - a time when designers treated monospacing not merely as a limitation, but also an opportunity.

MOREOVER: unlike the usual monospaced snoozefest, Triplicate has three weights, $true\ italics$ (not $sloped\ romans$), REAL SMALL CAPS, oldstyle figures, alternate characters optimized for programming, and even a non-monospaced variant (!)

True, a monospaced family will never be the most versatile member of your type library. But now, when you need one, you can have a good one. ${\bf MB}$

Ifijlr't1.
HOMWgm&w0?
Ifijlr't1.
HOMWgm&w0?

PROPORTIONAL VS. MONOSPACED: YOU SEE THE PROBLEM

It must be It must be of tribal hawk. hawk.

A TYPEWRITER SAMPLE USED IN THE DESIGN OF TRIPLICATE

SILAS TEWKESBURY, a degenerate nonagenarian, has tunneled into the studio. RENÉE is working nearby, in conversation with her husband POTIPHAR.

RENÉE

Potiphar, why can't your father accept that we're moving to Alaska?

POTIPHAR

Darling, I think he's concerned about its fiscal stability.

[Enter HUMMINGBIRD, through the window, flitting.]

RENÉE

Alaska? You mean, because it's one of the five states that doesn't have a sales tax? Please.

POTIPHAR

Well, I think he's 90% right.

[SILAS, hiding in darkness, pumps his fist.
Meanwhile, HUMMINGBIRD lands in acrylic paint.]

RENÉE

Oh goodness, what a commotion. Potiphar, I'm sorry, but this will have to wait.

RENÉE'S STUDIO IN TYPELAND - 8:32 AM

Silas Tewkesbury, a degenerate nonagenarian, has tunneled into the studio. Renée is working nearby, in conversation with her husband Potiphar.

Renée

Potiphar, why can't your father accept that we're moving to Alaska?

Potiphar

Darling, I think he's concerned about its fiscal stability.

[Enter Hummingbird, through the window, flitting.]

Renée

Alaska? You mean, because it's one of the five states that doesn't have a sales tax? Please.

Potiphar

Well, I think he's 90% right.

[Silas, hiding in darkness, pumps his fist. Meanwhile, Hummingbird lands in acrylic paint.]

Renée

Oh goodness, what a commotion. Potiphar, I'm sorry, but this will have to wait.

```
Load Avg: 1.31, 1.16, 1.18 CPU usage: 1.51% user, 1.51% sys, 96.96% idle
MemRegions: 45180 total, 3160M resident, 153M private, 1128M shared.
SharedLibs: 17M resident, 15M data, 0B link
PhysMem: 7115M used (1450M wired), 5164M ur
VM: 452G vsize, 1068M framework vsize, 0(0)
Networks: packets: 251592/134M in, 181691/3
PTD
      COMMAND
                   %CPU MEM
                               RPRVT VPRV
39763
                   6.8 3396K
                              3164K
                                     54M
      top
39759
     bash
                   6.1
                        680K
                               520K
                                     44M
39758
     login
                   5.8 1104K
                              776K
                                     73M
39757 quicklookd
                   5.4
                        4880K
                              4128K
                                     6031
39756 mdworker
                        2020K
                              1132K
                                     89M
39755 mdworker
                       5076K
                               4212K
39754 com.cultured 4.1
                        4616K 3180K 99M
39734- CVMCompiler 3.8 1576K
                              1292K
                                     59M
39733 cupsd
                   3.8 5420K
                              5036K
                                     84M
39732 printtool
                              784K
                   3.5 1180K
                                     71M
39725- Pages
                               44M-
                   2.6 63M-
                                     1391
39707 com.apple.iC 2.3
                        4316K
                              3540K
                                     99M
39601- FontLab Stud 2.3 70M
                               41M
                                     1141
39573 AppleMobileD 2.0 7060K
                              6240K
                                     93M
39572 com.apple.Me 1.9
                       46M
                               45M
                                     1241
39571 ath
                   1.7 1920K 1472K
                                     97M
39568 iTunes
                   1.4 126M
                               102M
                                     2381
39564 rcd
                   1.4 3884K 2892K 89M
```

Processes: 196 total, 2 running, 6 stuck, 188 sleeping, 1192 threads

```
;; Return a maze of given size
(define (graph->maze guide-graph)
  (define maze-graph (unweighted-graph/undire
  (let move-to-cell ([c (car (shuffle (sequer
    (for ([n (shuffle (sequence->list (in-nei
          #:unless (has-vertex? maze-graph n)
      (add-edge! maze-graph c n)
      (move-to-cell n)))
  maze-graph)
;; Convert from one set to another
(define (map-bdc str bdc-in bdc-out)
  (define bdc-in-list (string->list bdc-in))
  (define bdc-out-list (string->list bdc-out)
  (list->string
    (for/list ([c str-list])
      (define index (and (member c bdc-in-lis
        (- (length bdc-in-list) (length (memb
      (if index
        (list-ref bdc-out-list index)
        c)))))
;; Helper functions
(define inner-maze `(,@(make-list 5 (make-list
(define (plan->graph p)
  (define graph (unweighted-graph/undirected
  (for* ([col (length p)][row (length (list-)
```

(define plan-node (list-ref (list-ref p col) row))

```
(hyphenate xexpr
                [joiner
                #:exceptions exceptions
                #:min-length length
                #:omit-word word-test
                #:omit-string string-test
                #:omit-txexpr txexpr-test])
                → xexpr/c
 xexpr : xexpr/c
 joiner : (or/c char? string?)
 exceptions : (listof string?) = empty
 length : (or/c integer? false?) = 5
 word-test : (\lambda(x) \#f)
 string-test : (\lambda(x) #f)
 txexpr-test: (\lambda(x) #f)
Hyphenate xexpr by calculating hyphenation points and inserting
joiner at those points. By default, joiner is the soft hyphen.
Words shorter than length will not be hyphenated. To hyphenate
words of any length, use #:min-length #f.
Because the hyphenation is based on an algorithm rather than a dic-
tionary, it makes good guesses with unusual words:
> (hyphenate "scraunched strengths" #\-)
"scraunched strengths"
> (hyphenate "polymorphic" #\-)
"poly-mor-phic"
```

34 · THE OMEGA PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE

```
If you're w
<script>
data. You c
to specify a
X-expression
> (hyphe
processi
'(body "
ing"))
> (hyphe
processi
(get-tag
'(body "
You can als
with partic
hyphenatio
> (hyphe
"rib\u00
```

"ribbon-Keep in mi

Certain wo text.

TH

REGULAR

POLY

8/12 POINT

And that's the odd wrinkle we have to overcome when we talk about the web. Because to convince you to abandon the typewriter habits in printed documents, I'm able to cite a persuasive body of evidence: namely, the professional typographic practices of the last 500 years, as reflected in books, newspapers, and magazines. The web, however, has no equivalent tradition. We can't fill this gap merely by holding the web to print traditions. That would be limiting and illogical.

And that's the odd wrinkle we have to overcome when we talk about the web. Because to convince you to abandon the typewriter habits in printed documents, I'm able to cite a persuasive body of evidence: namely, the professional typographic practices of the last 500 years, as reflected in books, newspapers, and magazines. The web, however, has no equivalent tradition. We can't fill this gap merely by holding the web to print traditions. That would be limiting and illogical.

9/12 POINT

But it's equally illogical to refuse to compare the web to any benchmark on the grounds that it's sui generis (because it's not—the web is primarily a typographic medium), or that it's new technology (because it's not—the web is 20 years old), or that it's still evolving (because that's true of every technology, including print). Nevertheless, we've kept web design hovering in an odd state of neither here nor there.

But it's equally illogical to refuse to compare the web to any benchmark on the grounds that it's *sui generis* (because it's not—the web is primarily a typographic medium), or that it's new technology (because it's not—the web is 20 years old), or that it's still evolving (because that's true of every technology, including print). Nevertheless, we've kept web design hovering in an odd state of neither here nor there.

10/13 POINT

How? Like the poor worker of proverb-by blaming the tools. If you ask a web designer "why aren't we doing better with web typography?" you're likely to hear either "we can't, because such-and-such won't work in the old browsers" or "we can't, until such-and-such works in the new browsers." The culture of web design encourages us to rely on the past and the future as excuses for why we can't take account-ability for the present. These excuses keep today's web design in a bubble, conveniently impervious to criticism.

How? Like the poor worker of proverb—by blaming the tools. If you ask a web designer "why aren't we doing better with web typography?" you're likely to hear either "we can't, because such-and-such won't work in the old browsers" or "we can't, until such-and-such works in the new browsers." The culture of web design encourages us to rely on the past and the future as excuses for why we can't take accountability for the present. These excuses keep today's web design in a bubble, conveniently impervious to criticism.

1 2	Cadmium Q. Eaglefeather (SBN 502981) Eaglefeather Law Offices		
3	1920 Hillhurst Ave. Los Angeles, CA 90027		
	(323) 555-1435		
4	(866) 555-1147 fax		
5	cadmium@cqelaw.com		
6	Attorney for Plaintiff		
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF I	OS ANGELES	
10		Case No. BC5551212	
11		Plaintiff's Notice of Motion	
12	TRIXIE ARGON, individually and	and Motion to Compel Defen-	
13	on behalf of a class of	dant MegaCorp to Produce	
14	similarly situated persons,	Financial Records at Trial; Points & Authorities	
	Plaintiff;		
15		Complaint filed: June 9, 2022	
16	vs.	Trial date: August 20, 2024	
17	MEGACORP INC., a California	Assigned to	
18	corporation, and Does l	Judge Jerry Blank,	
19	through 100, inclusive,	Dept. 1010, Central Civil	
20	Defendants.	Division	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

1	1	NOTICE OF MOTION
2		
3	To all parties and the	eir attorneys of record:
4	You are hereby notifie	ed that at a date and time to be deter-
5	mined, in Dept. 1010 of t	he above-entitled court, plaintiff
6	Trixie Argon will move th	e Court for a motion to compel defendant
7	MegaCorp to produce finan	cial records she previously requested.
8	This motion is made or	the ground that Ms. Argon served Mega-
9	Corp with a valid notice	to produce financial records at trial.
10	Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 19	87(c), Cal. Civ. Code § 3295(c). Mega-
11	Corp served objections an	d refused to comply.
12	Ms. Argon's notice to	produce seeks information directly rele-
13	vant to her trial for punitive damages against MegaCorp. There-	
14	fore, the documents are material to Ms. Argon's case and there is	
15	good cause to order them	to be produced. Cal. Civ. Proc. Code
16	§ 1987(c).	
17		
18	November 19, 2023	EAGLEFEATHER LAW OFFICES
19		By:
20		Cadmium Q. Eaglefeather
21		Attorney for Plaintiff
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

DOTMEC	c	AUTHORITIES
POINTS	λ	AUTHORITIES

1	
2.	

3	Previously, the Court denied MegaCorp's motion for summary
4	adjudication of Ms. Argon's claims for punitive damages. (Eagle-
5	feather Decl. ¶ 1.) Ms. Argon served MegaCorp with a timely
6	notice to produce financial records at trial. (Eaglefeather Decl.
7	\P 2.) MegaCorp responded with boilerplate objections to Ms.
8	Argon's requests and refused to produce any financial records.
9	(Eaglefeather Decl. \P 3.) This motion seeks to compel MegaCorp to
10	produce these records.
11	
12	1. Ms. Argon is entitled to the financial records.
13	Because this is a punitive-damages case, Ms. Argon is entitled
14	to subpoena documents "to be available at the trial for the pur-

15

16

17

18

19

20

to subpoena documents "to be available at the trial for the purpose of establishing the profits or financial condition" of Mega-Corp. Cal. Civ. Code § 3295(c).

Ms. Argon has a right to these records even without showing that there is a "substantial probability that [she] will prevail". Id. That's the rule for pretrial discovery of financial records, but not for records to be brought to trial. Id.

21

22

23

24

25

26

2. The financial records are material to Ms. Argon's case.

If the jury finds MegaCorp liable for punitive damages, the jury may then consider "[e]vidence of profit and financial condition" of those defendants to determine the amount of punitive dam-

1	ages. Cal. Civ. Code 99	3294(a) and $3295(a)$; Notin v. Nati Conve	
2	nience Stores, Inc., 95 (Cal. App. 3d 279, 288 (1979).	
3			
4	3. Ms. Argon will	be prejudiced without the financial	
5	records, so there is	good cause to compel their produc-	
6	tion.		
7	MegaCorp was ordered	to stand trial on punitive damages.	
8	(Eaglefeather Decl. ¶ 4.)) If the jury returns an initial verdict	
9	for punitive damages, Ms.	. Argon will need these financial records	
10	to prove the amount of pu	unitive damages. MegaCorp cannot circum-	
11	vent the trial by withholding evidence that the jury must con-		
12	sider. Cal. Civ. Code § 3	3295(d).	
13			
14	November 19, 2023	EAGLEFEATHER LAW OFFICES	
15		By:	
16		Cadmium Q. Eaglefeather	
17		Attorney for Plaintiff	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

THE LAW OFFICES OF

CADMIUM Q.

EAGLEFEATHER

PLC

5419 HURLEY BLVD STE C731

LOS ANGELES CA 90027

323 555 1435

323 555 1439 FAX

CADMIUM @ CQELAW.COM

February 15, 2024

George Falkenburg Falkenburg, Fester, and Funk LLP 1252 W. 83rd Street Bakersfield, CA 90909

Re: Nicholson v. MegaCorp, Case No. B718590125-2

Dear Mr. Falkenburg:

In response to your recent request, I've enclosed a DVD of photographs I took during the inspection of the MegaCorp facility on October 30, 2023.

I apologize for the delay, but I was recently hospitalized for a concussion sustained while rollerblading. Rest assured that I am on the mend. If you have any questions about this DVD, please let me know.

Separately: you recently served a set of **953** interrogatories on my client. These interrogatories were *not* accompanied by the declaration of necessity that's required when serving more than 35 requests. See Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 2030.050.

By the way, it was great seeing you and Thelma over the holidays. I think we still have your cheesecake platter. Let's talk soon about our plans for Maui in the spring.

Sincerely,

CADMIUM Q. EAGLEFEATHER

CQE / bqe Enclosure To: Cadmium Q. Eaglefeather

From: Trixie Argon

Date: 10 September 2024

Re: Cause of action for malicious prosecution

Malicious prosecution has three elements that must be pleaded and proved:

- 1) the defendant commenced a judicial proceeding against the plaintiff;
- 2) the original proceeding was "initiated with malice" and "without probable cause"; and
- 3) the proceeding was "pursued to a legal termination in [the plaintiff's] favor."

Bertero v. National General Corp., 13 Cal. 3d 43, 50 (1974).

1. Commencement of judicial proceeding

Any civil proceeding where the plaintiff seeks affirmative relief may be the basis of a malicious-prosecution claim. The original plaintiff does not need to personally sign the complaint. If the plaintiff is "actively instrumental" or the "proximate and efficient cause" of the action, the plaintiff may be liable. Jacques Interiors v. Petrak, 188 Cal. App. 3d 1363, 1372 (1987).

2. Initiated without probable cause and with malice

The malicious-prosecution plaintiff must establish both malice and lack of probable cause by the defendant in the underlying action.

In a malicious-prosecution action against an attorney in a civil suit, the standard for probable cause

is whether a reasonable attorney would have thought the underlying claim was tenable at the time the original complaint was filed. Sheldon Appel Co. v. Albert & Oliker, 47 Cal. 3d 863, 885-86 (1989). An attorney may be liable for continuing to prosecute a claim after they discover the action lacks probable cause, even if there was probable cause at the outset. Zamos v. Stroud, 32 Cal. 4th 958, 970 (2004).

The showing of malice requires evidence of "ill will or some improper purpose," ranging "anywhere from open hostility to indifference." *Grindle v. Lorbeer*, 196 Cal. App. 3d 1461, 1465 (1987). Malice may be inferred from lack of probable cause if the party's behavior was clearly unreasonable. However, this is not an automatic inference. *Grindle*, 196 Cal. App. 3d at 1468 ("Negligence does not equate with malice"). As above, failure by an attorney to conduct an adequate investigation may be evidence of "indifference" suggesting malice.

3. Favorable termination

Malicious prosecution requires that the underlying complaint to have been terminated in favor of the malicious-prosecution plaintiff. This means that a defendant cannot make a malicious-prosecution counterclaim as a "defense" to a complaint that appears to be malicious. Until the underlying complaint has been resolved, a malicious-prosecution claim cannot lie. Babb v. Superior Court, 3 Cal. 3d 841, 846-847 (1971). Thus, procedurally, the only option is to complete the underlying action, and then file a claim for malicious prosecution in a follow-on action.

TRIXIE B. ARGON

1920 HILLHURST AVE. #C731 LOS ANGELES 90027 (213) 555-1234 TRIXIEARGON@GMAIL.COM

EDUCATION

UCLA Anderson School of Management

2021 - 23

- Cumulative GPA: 3.98
- Academic interests: real-estate financing, criminal procedure
- Henry Murtaugh Award

Hartford University

2013 - 17

- B.A. summa cum laude, Economics
- Extensive coursework in Astrophysics, Statistics
- Van Damme Scholarship

BUSINESS EXPERIENCE

Boxer Bedley & Ball Capital Advisors

2018 - 21

Equity analyst

- Performed independent research on numerous American industries
- Steelmaking, croquet, and butterscotch manufacturing
- Led company in equities analyzed in two quarters

OTHER WORK EXPERIENCE

Proximate Cause

2017 - 18

Assistant to the director

- · Helped devise fundraising campaigns for this innovative nonprofit
- Handled lunch orders and general errands

Hot Topic

2014-16

Retail-sales associate

- Top in-store sales associate in seven out of eight quarters
- Inventory managment
- Training and recruiting

1	Cadmium Q. Eaglefeather (SBN 5029 Eaglefeather Law Offices	981)	
2	1920 Hillhurst Ave.		
3	Los Angeles, CA 90027		
4	(323) 555–1435		
5	(866) 555-1147 fax cadmium@cqelaw.com		
6	Attorney for Plaintiff		
	netorney for framefri		
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF I	LOS ANGELES	
10		Case No. BC5551212	
11		Plaintiff's Notice of Motion	
12	TRIXIE ARGON, individually and	and Motion to Compel Defen-	
13	on behalf of a class of	dant MegaCorp to Produce	
	similarly situated persons,	Financial Records at Trial; Points & Authorities	
14	Plaintiff;	Tornes & Authorities	
15		Complaint filed: June 9, 2022	
16	vs.	Trial date: August 20, 2024	
17	MEGACORP INC., a California	Assigned to	
18	corporation, and Does 1	Judge Jerry Blank,	
19	through 100, inclusive,	Dept. 1010, Central Civil	
20	Defendants.	Division	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

1		NOTICE OF MOTION
2		
3	To all parties and the	eir attorneys of record:
4	You are hereby notifie	ed that at a date and time to be deter-
5	mined, in Dept. 1010 of t	he above-entitled court, plaintiff
6	Trixie Argon will move th	e Court for a motion to compel defendant
7	MegaCorp to produce finan	cial records she previously requested.
8	This motion is made or	n the ground that Ms. Argon served Mega-
9	Corp with a valid notice	to produce financial records at trial.
10	Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 19	87(c), Cal. Civ. Code § 3295(c). Mega-
11	Corp served objections an	d refused to comply.
12	Ms. Argon's notice to	produce seeks information directly rele-
13	vant to her trial for pun	aitive damages against MegaCorp. There-
14	fore, the documents are material to Ms. Argon's case and there is	
15	good cause to order them	to be produced. Cal. Civ. Proc. Code
16	§ 1987(c).	
17		
18	November 19, 2023	EAGLEFEATHER LAW OFFICES
19		Ву:
20		Cadmium Q. Eaglefeather
21		Attorney for Plaintiff
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

DOTMEC	c	AUTHORITIES
POINTS	λ	AUTHORITIES

]	L	
•	`	

12	1. Ms. Argon is entitled to the financial records.
11	
10	produce these records.
9	(Eaglefeather Decl. \P 3.) This motion seeks to compel MegaCorp to
8	Argon's requests and refused to produce any financial records.
7	\P 2.) MegaCorp responded with boilerplate objections to Ms.
6	notice to produce financial records at trial. (Eaglefeather Decl.
5	feather Decl. \P 1.) Ms. Argon served MegaCorp with a timely
4	adjudication of Ms. Argon's claims for punitive damages. (Eagle-
3	Previously, the Court denied MegaCorp's motion for summary

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Because this is a punitive-damages case, Ms. Argon is entitled to subpoena documents "to be available at the trial for the purpose of establishing the profits or financial condition" of Mega-Corp. Cal. Civ. Code § 3295(c).

Ms. Argon has a right to these records even without showing that there is a "substantial probability that [she] will prevail". Id. That's the rule for pretrial discovery of financial records, but not for records to be brought to trial. Id.

21

22

24

25

26

2. The financial records are material to Ms. Argon's

23 case.

> If the jury finds MegaCorp liable for punitive damages, the jury may then consider "[e]vidence of profit and financial condition" of those defendants to determine the amount of punitive dam-

1	ages. Cal. Civ. Code §§	3294(a) and 3295(d); <i>Nolin v. Nat'l Conve-</i>
2	nience Stores, Inc., 95	Cal. App. 3d 279, 288 (1979).
3		
4	3. Ms. Argon wil	1 be prejudiced without the financial
5	records, so there is	good cause to compel their produc-
6	tion.	
7	MegaCorp was ordered	to stand trial on punitive damages.
8	(Eaglefeather Decl. ¶ 4	.) If the jury returns an initial verdict
9	for punitive damages, M	s. Argon will need these financial records
10	to prove the amount of	punitive damages. MegaCorp cannot circum-
11	vent the trial by withh	olding evidence that the jury must con-
12	sider. Cal. Civ. Code § 3295(d).	
13		
14	November 19, 2023	EAGLEFEATHER LAW OFFICES
15		By:
16		Cadmium Q. Eaglefeather
17		Attorney for Plaintiff
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

THE LAW OFFICES OF

CADMIUM Q.

EAGLEFEATHER

PLC

5419 HURLEY BLVD STE C731

LOS ANGELES CA 90027

323 555 1435

323 555 1439 FAX

CADMIUM @ CQELAW.COM

February 15, 2024

George Falkenburg Falkenburg, Fester, and Funk LLP 1252 W. 83rd Street Bakersfield, CA 90909

Re: Nicholson v. MegaCorp, Case No. B718590125-2

Dear Mr. Falkenburg:

In response to your recent request, I've enclosed a DVD of photographs I took during the inspection of the MegaCorp facility on October 30, 2023.

I apologize for the delay, but I was recently hospitalized for a concussion sustained while rollerblading. Rest assured that I am on the mend. If you have any questions about this DVD, please let me know.

Separately: you recently served a set of **953** interrogatories on my client. These interrogatories were *not* accompanied by the declaration of necessity that's required when serving more than 35 requests. See Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 2030.050.

By the way, it was great seeing you and Thelma over the holidays. I think we still have your cheesecake platter. Let's talk soon about our plans for Maui in the spring.

Sincerely,

CADMIUM Q. EAGLEFEATHER

CQE / bqe Enclosure To: Cadmium Q. Eaglefeather

From: Trixie Argon

Date: 10 September 2024

Re: Cause of action for malicious prosecution

Malicious prosecution has three elements that must be pleaded and proved:

- 1) the defendant commenced a judicial proceeding against the plaintiff;
- 2) the original proceeding was "initiated with malice" and "without probable cause"; and
- 3) the proceeding was "pursued to a legal termination in [the plaintiff's] favor."

Bertero v. National General Corp., 13 Cal. 3d 43, 50 (1974).

1. Commencement of judicial proceeding

Any civil proceeding where the plaintiff seeks affirmative relief may be the basis of a malicious-prosecution claim. The original plaintiff does not need to personally sign the complaint. If the plaintiff is "actively instrumental" or the "proximate and efficient cause" of the action, the plaintiff may be liable. Jacques Interiors v. Petrak, 188 Cal. App. 3d 1363, 1372 (1987).

2. Initiated without probable cause and with malice

The malicious-prosecution plaintiff must establish both malice and lack of probable cause by the defendant in the underlying action.

In a malicious-prosecution action against an attorney in a civil suit, the standard for probable cause

is whether a reasonable attorney would have thought the underlying claim was tenable at the time the original complaint was filed. Sheldon Appel Co. v. Albert & Oliker, 47 Cal. 3d 863, 885-86 (1989). An attorney may be liable for continuing to prosecute a claim after they discover the action lacks probable cause, even if there was probable cause at the outset. Zamos v. Stroud, 32 Cal. 4th 958, 970 (2004).

The showing of malice requires evidence of "ill will or some improper purpose," ranging "anywhere from open hostility to indifference." Grindle v. Lorbeer, 196 Cal. App. 3d 1461, 1465 (1987). Malice may be inferred from lack of probable cause if the party's behavior was clearly unreasonable. However, this is not an automatic inference. Grindle, 196 Cal. App. 3d at 1468 ("Negligence does not equate with malice"). As above, failure by an attorney to conduct an adequate investigation may be evidence of "indifference" suggesting malice.

3. Favorable termination

Malicious prosecution requires that the underlying complaint to have been terminated in favor of the malicious-prosecution plaintiff. This means that a defendant cannot make a malicious-prosecution counterclaim as a "defense" to a complaint that appears to be malicious. Until the underlying complaint has been resolved, a malicious-prosecution claim cannot lie. Babb v. Superior Court, 3 Cal. 3d 841, 846-847 (1971). Thus, procedurally, the only option is to complete the underlying action, and then file a claim for malicious prosecution in a follow-on action.

TRIXIE B. ARGON

1920 HILLHURST AVE. #C731 LOS ANGELES 90027 (213) 555-1234 TRIXIEARGON@GMAIL.COM

EDUCATION

UCLA Anderson School of Management

2021 - 23

- Cumulative GPA: 3.98
- Academic interests: real-estate financing, criminal procedure
- Henry Murtaugh Award

Hartford University

2013 - 17

- B.A. summa cum laude, Economics
- Extensive coursework in Astrophysics, Statistics
- Van Damme Scholarship

BUSINESS EXPERIENCE

Boxer Bedley & Ball Capital Advisors

2018 - 21

Equity analyst

- Performed independent research on numerous American industries
- Steelmaking, croquet, and butterscotch manufacturing
- Led company in equities analyzed in two quarters

OTHER WORK EXPERIENCE

Proximate Cause

2017 - 18

Assistant to the director

- · Helped devise fundraising campaigns for this innovative nonprofit
- Handled lunch orders and general errands

Hot Topic

2014-16

Retail-sales associate

- Top in-store sales associate in seven out of eight quarters
- Inventory managment
- Training and recruiting